

Particles and Health 2021 How can Grouping and Read-Across support Safe(r)-bydesign and regulation of Nanomaterials and Advanced Materials?

Vicki Stone v.stone@hw.ac.uk



www.h2020gracious.eu

Project no. 760840

Introduction

GRACIOUS H2020 Project

<u>Aim</u>

Generate a Framework to enable practical application of grouping, and subsequent read-across of nanomaterials (NMs)/nanoforms (NFs).

Aligns with EU legislation and needs of industry.







- Started January 2018
- Finish end Sept 2021
- 23 partners



Project no. 760840

An alternative approach



Conventional risk assessment vs Grouping

- Conventional approach requires consideration of each substance on a case by case basis
 - Expensive
 - Time consuming
 - Uses large numbers of animals



 Grouping provides intelligent methods of streamlining information gathering for risk assessment

"Substances whose physicochemical, toxicological and ecotoxicological properties are likely to be similar or follow a regular pattern as a result of structural similarity may be considered as a group" (REACH, Annex XI, 1.5).

GRACIOUS goes beyond qualitative similarity assessments by generating a range of protocols for quantitative similarity assessment that can support grouping in a regulatory dossier.

Framework design

Stakeholder Engagement







- EU policy makers
 - E.g. EC
- EU regulatory bodies
 - E.g. ECHA, EFSA, JRC
- European national government bodies
 - E.g. RIVM, NRCWE, BfR
- Non-EU regulatory bodies
 - E.g. US EPA, Health Canada
- Industry bodies
 - E.g. NIA, ECETOC and BIAC
- Industry
 - E.g. BASF, Black Diamond
- Consultants
 - E.g. Yordas, Blue Frog

Simple Form gracious **GRACIOUS** Framework Entry Point Single NF or provisional **Applications** group of NFs **Regulatory dossiers** • Support Innovation • Nano Today 35 (2020) 100941 (SbD) Contents lists available at ScienceDirect nanotoda **Basic Information Step** Refine testing • Nano Today Precautionary measures • journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/nanotoday Possible A framework for grouping and read-across of nanomaterials-**Detailed Step** Outcomes supporting innovation and risk assessment Hypothesis Vicki Stone^{a,*}, Stefania Gottardo^b, Eric A.J. Bleeker^c, Hedwig Braakhuis^c, Susan Dekkers^c, Grouping and Teresa Fernandes^a, Andrea Haase^d, Neil Hunt^c, Danail Hristozov[†], Paula Jantunen^b, assessment by IATAs read-across Nina Jeliazkova⁸, Helinor Johnston^a, Lara Lamon¹, Fiona Murphy^a, Kirsten Rasmussen^b, Hubert Rauscher^b, Araceli Sánchez Jiménez^b, Claus Svendsen¹, David Spurgeon¹, decision Socorro Vázquez-Campos¹, Wendel Wohlleben^k, Agnes G. Oomen^c NanoSafety Research Group, Heriot-Watt University, Riccarton, Edinburgh EH14 4AS, UK ^b European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC), Ispra, Italy **Hypothesis** National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, the Netherlands ⁶ German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment (BJR), Department of Chemical and Product Safety, Berlin, Germany refinement and * Yordas Group, Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK Green Decisions, Venice, Italy reassessment # Ideaconsult Ltd, Sofia, Bulgaria Institute of Occupational Medicine (IOM), Research Avenue North, Edinburgh EH14 4AP, UK UK Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Maclean Building, Benson Lane, Crowmarsh Gifford, Wallingford, OX10 8BB, UK LEITAT Technological Center, Barcelona, Spain Generate info * BASF SE, Dept. Material Physics and Dept. of Experimental Toxicology & Ecology, Ludwigshafen, Germany for individual NF(s)

Stone et al Nano Today 35 (2020) 100941

Detailed form

GRACIOUS Framework





Applying the Framework



Using the Framework for Read-Across



Stone et al Nano Today 35 (2020) 100941

Stone et al Nano Today 35 (2020) 100941

Using the Framework for Safe(r) by Design





- During innovation, safe(r)-bydesign approaches help to avoid expensive, time consuming, unexpected problems with new nanoenabled products
- Grouping and Read-across can be used during the innovation process
 - E.g. aid prioritization of lower hazard candidate NFs while ensuring product functionality

Grouping is Hypothesis Driven

Hypothesis Template



- There are many ways to word and formulate a hypothesis
- To provide guidance to the user GRACIOUS has developed a Hypothesis Template

Purpose and context				
Life Cycle	What they are?			
	Where they go?			
	What they do?			

The Framework includes approx. 40 pre-defined hypotheses

- Based upon the literature and available data
 - 17 for human hazard
 - 23 for environmental hazard

Grouping

Hypothesis examples

- Respirable NFs showing quick dissolution: Following inhalation both NFs and constituent ions or molecules may contribute to toxicity, but there is no concern for accumulation. Toxicity (also) depends on the location of the ionic or molecular release.
- NFs with a chemical coating that is lost from the NF surface following exposure in soil compartment can be grouped: Fate and toxicity of the exposure relevant NF can be considered similar to a noncoated analogous NF in soil compartment







Integrated Approaches to Testing and Assessment

- In order to determine if NF(s) fit into a specific group, evidence is needed
- Scientific evidence is obtained via application of IATAs that are tailored to each hypothesis
- IATAs follow the format suggested by OECD
- IATAs are used to gather the information needed to test a specific hypothesis



IATAs



Data matrix

IATAs combine and integrates all relevant existing evidence and new data into a data matrix in order to support evidence-based grouping.



Oral route of exposure



Hypotheses relevant to grouping

Dissolution in GIT fluids: Instantaneous

Instantaneous	<u>H-O-I</u>	NFs with an instantaneous dissolution: Following oral exposure, the toxicity is driven by and is therefore similar to that of the constituent ions or molecules.
Quick	<u>H-O-Q1</u>	NFs with a quick dissolution: Following oral exposure both NFs and constituent ions or molecules may contribute to local inflammation in the OGI tract, but there is no concern for NF accumulation.
	<u>H-O-Q3</u>	NFs with a quick dissolution: Following oral exposure both NFs and constituent ions or molecules may drive antimicrobial impacts (e.g. reducing microbial content and diversity within the OGI tract), but there is no concern for NF accumulation.
Partial	<u>H-O-P1</u>	NFs showing partial dissolution: Following oral exposure both NFs and constituent ions or molecules may lead to local inflammation in the OGI tract.
	<u>H-O-P2</u>	NFs showing partial dissolution: Following oral exposure both NFs and constituent ions or molecules may translocate to secondary target organs and may lead to systemic toxicity in secondary organs.
	<u>H-O-P3</u>	NFs showing partial dissolution: Following oral exposure both NFs and constituent ions or molecules may drive antimicrobial impacts, such as reducing microbial content and diversity within the OGI tract
Very slow	<u>H-O-S1</u>	NFs with a very slow dissolution rate: Following oral exposure NFs will maintain nanospecific activity that may lead to local inflammation within the OGI tract.
	<u>H-O-S2</u>	NFs with a very slow dissolution rate: Following oral exposure NFs will maintain nanospecific activity that may drive translocation across the intestinal wall, subsequent biopersistence in the body and systemic toxicity in secondary organs.
	<u>H-O-S3</u>	NFs with a very slow dissolution rate: Following oral exposure NFs will maintain nanospecific activity that will drive antimicrobial impacts, such as reducing microbial content and diversity within the OGI tract

Human oral hypotheses

Oral grouping hypotheses



Assessment of dissolution



Simulated physiological media and cascade in vitro digestion assay

- NANoREG D2.08 SOP 06
- Bove et al. Nanoscale 2017
- Guarnieri et al. Small 2018

Half-life values from benchmarks align well with the cut-offs in the oral IATAs



Di Cristo et al. in preparation

Oral IATA

AND DEVELOPMENT

Dissolution in GIT fluids





Oral IATA

Dissolution in cells









Oral IATA Hazard









Di Cristo et al. in preparation

Oral IATA **Hazard decision nodes**





yes

Tiered testing strategy **Purpose**

IN GROUPING



Fiona Murphy

Oral IATA

Tiered Testing Strategy





Similarity assessment Method development



"Substances whose physicochemical, toxicological and ecotoxicological properties are likely to be similar or follow a regular pattern as a result of structural similarity may be considered as a group" (REACH, Annex XI, 1.5). 23 mentions of "similar" in the ECHA guidance on NFs, but no guidance on algorithms or implementation (Appendix R.6-1 for nanoforms applicable to the Guidance on QSARs and Grouping of Chemicals, 2019)

How can we justify hazard assessment by grouping instead of animal testing? Concepts and usable tools to quantify the similarity of nanoforms

Nina Jeliazkova^a, Eric Bleeker^b, Richard Cross^c, Andrea Haase^d, Gemma Janer^e, Willie Peijnenburg^b, Mario Pink^d, Hubert Rauscher^f, Claus Svendsen^c, Georgia Tsiliki^g, Alex Zabeo^h, Danail Hristozov^h, Vicki Stoneⁱ, Wendel Wohlleben^{j*} NanoImpact special issue To be submitted June 2021

Multidimensional similarity assessment

Algorithms

- Multidimensional distances
- And/or unsupervised statistical methods (e.g. cluster analysis)
 - Very useful to explore patterns
 - Previously applied to combine multiple assays (Bahl et al 2020) and to toxicogenomics (Nikota et al. 2015, Tsiliki et al. 2017)



Results: Not robust between different algorithms.

Conclusion: Multidimensional approaches are considered to be tools of discovery rather than regulatory methods.



Pairwise property-by-property In vitro toxicology

- Four algorythms compared
- The CeO₂ NFs and Fe_2O_3 NFs
 - similar within each substance,
 - clearly different from benchmarks.
- Similarity results for x-fold, OWAbased, Bayes are mostly consistent
- Numerical values used to assess similarity are different per algorithm.
- Absolute difference (1D Euclidean) required YJ transformation of data to give comparible results



LDH LOAEC OWA

0.4

1.0 1.0 1.0 0.8 1.0 1.2

0.6

0.2

0.4

1.0

Quartz

0.6

0.4

0.4

0.4

0.0

0IIMN

VM105

NM212 NM211 ceO2-Al NF A NF B

C

NM220 -

NM110

NM105

NM212

NM211

CeO2-AI

NF A

NF B

Ouartz

korundum





GRACIOUS links to eNanoMapper

Using existing and new data

GENM

Home About Projects FAIR Data Help



H2020 GRACIOUS - eNanoMapper database

License information NANOREG | ENPRA | MARINA | NANOTEST | GRACIOUS |

 Projects (80533) 	P Hits list	Selection	Predefined Queries		Export			
Filter_								
ENPRA GRACIOUS	Select dat	aset to expor	t					
MARINA	Filtered e	entries Selec	ted entries					
NANOGRAVUR NANoREG	Select exp	oort/report typ	be					
NanoTest SANOWORK	Study resu	Study results - all values, minimal metadata						
	Study resu							
		Materials and all study results						
 Study providers (6692) 		Materials information						
 Nanomaterial type (6693) 	NanoREG p	Study results - all values, minimal metadata NanoREG physchem template (under development) Study report - new format (under development)						
 Nanomaterial (6693) 		Compact data report						
		Summary report: basic essentials only						
GRACIOUS materials (3701)	Summary report: Number of data points - materials on rows Summary report: Number of data points - materials on columns							
Protocols (19195)		report: Method, report: Methods	cells, project , endpoints, project					



Data to support GRACIOUS Framework

- Phys-chem
- Cell viability, oxidative stress, reactivity
- Harmonized templates and terminology
 - Hazard, Exposure, PC
 - Quality score added
- Data is fed into matrix to support a similarity assessment
- Blueprint test environment links to eNanoMapper to allow data transfer

https://search.data.enanomapper.net/projects/gracious

Nina Jeliazkova

New and existing data

Data Quality and Completeness

Quality and completeness

The methodology takes into account the following criteria:

- data completeness;
- data reliability;
- data relevance;
- data adequacy.
- Scores are calculated for each of these criteria and those are aggregated into a quality score and a completeness score.





Red – data is of insufficient quality.

Yellow – data is sufficient quality, but needs further consideration to be used for a specific task.

Green – data high quality.

GRACIOUS Framework

Blueprint and Guidance Document





Ralph Vanhauten





BfR

GRACIOUS Grouping Framework Design **Outputs**



- Pre-defined list complete
- User-defined template complete
- IATAs
 - Human complete
 - Environment complete
- Blueprint of software
 - Machine readable and open access
 - Integrates hypotheses, IATAs and data sources
 - Integrate similarity assessment and data matrix



- Similarity methodology
 - Multicomponent
 - Pairwise property-by-property
 - Quality criteria incorporation
 - Blueprint and Framework tested
 - 17 case studies conducted
 - Inhalation 6 internal, 5 external
 - Oral 1 internal, 1 external
 - Environment 2 internal, 2 external
- Guidance document
- Guidance in a nutshell



For more information

https://www.h2020gracious.eu/library





Thank you!



Vicki Stone v.stone@hw.ac.uk info@h2020gracious.eu



www.h2020gracious.eu